| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 09/775,936 | AKERS ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Jamal A Fox | 2664 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: 71 | |
| (1) <u>Jamal A Fox</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) William P. Wilbar. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 22 March 2005 | Time: <u>1:54 PM EST</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: | | |
| Received authorization to amend claim 35 to change the "DSALM" typos toDSLAM | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| · | | |
| | | • |
| | | |
| James a Fo | | |
| Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | 'Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |